के किसी चित्र को नहीं लगाया गया है या उसका अनावरण नहीं किया गया है । तथापि, कुछ कर्मचारियों द्वारा आयोजित एक कार्यक्रम के अवसर पर लखनऊ जी. पी. ओ. में डा. अम्बेडकर का एक चित्र अस्थाई रूप से लगाया था, कार्यक्रम के बाद इसे हटा दिया गया था और आयोजकों को सौंप दिया गया था ।

Jobs for silver plating of cable Termination box being manufactured by Telecom Factory, Calcutta

722. SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM : Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Kongavi Electronics Private Limited, Bangalore has been entrusted by the Telecom Factory, Calcutta during the year 1991-92 to undertake jobs for silver plating of some parts and spares of cable termination box being manufactured by the factory ;

(b) whether despite high rate of the company's performance during the year, it is not being appropriately given opportunity to work for the same job to be awarded on the basis of tender ;

(c) whether the company has made representations but all in vain ;

(d) whether any tender is under consideration of the factory ; and

(e) if so, what are the facts and details thereof ?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Past performance is taken into consideration while making tender evaluation.

(c) No such representation has been received from the Company.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) (1) Tendered Quantity 120 Lakhs.

(2) M/s. Kongavi Electronics Private Limited Bangalore is one of the ten firms who have quoted against the tender.

Recovery of loans taken by 1984 riot victims

723. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE : Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state :

(a) whether the recovery of dues from November, 1984 riot victims are still stayed as per Supreme Court's orders dated 7th November, 1989 in Writ Petition Nos. 457, 859 "Harjit Singh Vs. Union of India" ;

(b) whether Government has communicated these orders of Supreme Court to lower Courts in Delhi ;

(c) what action Government has taken for keeping the cases pending in the lower courts in Delhi pertaining to November, 1984 riot victims until such time the guidelines are laid down by the Reserve Bank of India and also in the light of the fact that Government has already constituted a Committee to work out the modalities for reducing the burden of borrowers as published in Hindustan Times of 3rd January, 1992 ; and

(d) whether Government propose to issue instructions to Lower Courts in Delhi to keep the cases pending and ensure that forcible recoveries are not made in case the guidelines of Government and the Report of the Committee is likely to take more time ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI DALBIR SINGH) : (a) to (d) Pursuant to the Supreme Court orders dated the 7th November, 1989 Reserve Bank of India (RBI) had issued suitable instructions in December, 1989 to all commercial banks. These instructions *inter alia* included that the Banks will not have recourse to recovery proceedings until they had decided the case of each individual concerned in accordance with the advice of RBI. The banks will

review the credit facilities granted to all the November, 1984 riot affected borrowers and extend such reliefs as may be considered reasonable on the merits of each case. The reliefs may include further extension of time for repayment of dues, entering into compromise arrangements and, in cases where there are no reasonable chances of recovery of dues, write off of the amount.

As a measure of providing further relief, Government had decided in April, 1990 that in deserving cases, the banks may charge interest at 6% per annum on loans extended to November, 1984 riot affected borrowers. The difference of interest charged by the banks and 6% will be met by the Central Government as interest subsidy to banks through RBI. Accordingly, RBI prepared an interest relief scheme known as "Central Interest Subsidy Scheme for November, 1984 Riot Affected Borrowers" and circulated it to all the commercial banks in September, 1990 for implementation.

Government have been receiving representations from various quarters demanding further concessions on loans granted to November, 1984 riot affected borrowers. Therefore, Government have constituted a Committee of Officers in April, 1992 to review the grant of facilities in respect of November, 1984 riot affected borrowers.

New system of reporting and monitoring for CAG Office

724. SHRI B. K. HARIPRASAD : Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government are aware of reporting on important issues of public interest undertaken by the US General Accounting Office and wide circulation of such reports not only to the House of Representatives but also NGOs in USA and world wide on request ;

(b) whether Government propose to introduce similar reporting system for the CAG office monitoring/evaluation and mid-course corrections as for example in the USA GAO/RCED-92-42 Report of December 1991 on Pesticide Monitoring ; and

(c) whether NGOs could also be associated with his nation building activity in true partnership with the official agencies ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI SHANTARAM POTDUKHE) : (a) to (c) The Comptroller and Auditor-General of India functions in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution and his duties and powers are statutorily laid down in the C&AG's (Duties, Powers & Conditions of Services) Act, 1971. In our system monitoring/evaluation and mid-term corrections are basically the responsibility of the Government, and are carried out by the Ministries/Departments concerned as well as by the Planning Commission from time to time. The involvement of non-Government organisations in the implementation of the development programme depends on the nature of the scheme and activity and this is also a matter to be decided by the Ministry/Department administratively concerned with the programme/scheme.

Income Tax exemption on D.D.A.'s conversion Charges

725. CHOWDHRY HARI SINGH : Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that Delhi Development Authorty recently issued a notification making it compulsory for conversion by allottees of lease hold flats to free hold flats by paying to D.D.A. prescribed conversion charges ;

(b) if so, whether the expenditure so incurred by an allotee of a D.D.A. flat for conversion from lease hold to free hold can be deducted from his annual income for Income Tax proposes or any Income Tax rebate will be allowed on that account ; and

(c) if the answer to part (b) above is negative, what are the reasons for the same ?